

**Econ 3040 – Midterm 2, Mar. 13<sup>th</sup>, 2018**

You may use a calculator. Answer all questions in the answer book provided. The exam is 70 minutes long and consists of 100 marks.

A formula sheet, and a table of probabilities from the standard Normal distribution, are provided at the back of the exam booklet.

NAME:	
STUDENT #:	

**DO NOT OPEN THIS BOOKLET UNTIL INSTRUCTED TO DO SO.**

**HAND IN THIS BOOKLET AT THE END OF THE EXAM.**

**Part A – Short Answer** [7 marks each]

1.) Briefly explain how the OLS estimators are derived.

2.) Consider the population model:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \epsilon_i$$

The variance of the OLS estimator for  $b_1$  is:

$$Var[b_1] = \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{\sum X_i^2 - \frac{(\sum X_i)^2}{n}}$$

What three things make the variance of the OLS estimator,  $b_1$ , smaller? Why do we want the OLS estimator to have small variance?

3.) Consider again the population model:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \epsilon_i$$

Briefly explain the role of the random error term,  $\epsilon$ .

4.) Explain why  $R^2$  must be between 0 and 1 (why  $0 \leq R^2 \leq 1$ ).

5.) Should  $R^2$  be used in the multiple regression model? Why or why not?

6.) Explain how the “dummy variable trap” is a situation of perfect multicollinearity, and why it’s a problem.

**Part B – Long Answer**

7.) [10 marks] Use the following data:

$$Y = \{2, 0, 1\} ; X = \{0, 1, -1\}$$

a) Calculate the OLS estimators  $b_1$  and  $b_0$ .

b) Calculate the OLS predicted value, and OLS residual, for the sample value of  $X = 1$ .

8.) [12 marks] Suppose that you have data on gender and wages for a sample of workers. The dummy variable *Male* equals 1 if the worker is male, and 0 if the worker is female. The *Wage* variable is measured in \$/hour. The estimated regression is:

$$\widehat{Wage} = b_0 + b_1 \times Male, R^2 = 0.06$$

(0.23) (0.36)

where the numerical values for  $b_0$  and  $b_1$  are missing.

a) The sample average of *Wage* is 12.48 for female workers, and 14.72 for male workers. What will the estimated values be for  $b_0$  and  $b_1$ , in the above equation?

b) Test the hypothesis that there is no difference in wages between men and women.

9.) [24 marks] The following regression output was obtained from R, using the CPS data:

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )	
(Intercept)	-4.96426	1.24713	-3.981	7.84e-05	***
education	0.82679	0.07458	11.087	< 2e-16	***
age	0.10695	0.01736	6.160	1.44e-09	***
genderfemale	-2.32974	0.38786	-6.007	3.52e-09	***
marriedyes	0.54005	0.42214	1.279	0.201	

---  
Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 4.451 on 529 degrees of freedom  
Multiple R-squared: ????, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2497  
F-statistic: 45.35 on 4 and 529 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

where hourly wage is the dependent variable, education is the number of years of education of the worker, age is the age of the worker in years, genderfemale is a dummy variable equal to 1 if the worker is female, and marriedyes is a dummy equal to 1 if the worker is married.

a) What is the estimated wage-gender gap?

b) Test the hypothesis that the wage-gender gap is zero.

c) Test the hypothesis that married workers make the same as single workers.

d) How much of the variation in wage can be explained using the regressors?

e) What is the predicted wage for an unmarried male worker, who has 14 years of education, and is 36 years old?

f) Calculate the value for  $R^2$  (the sample size is  $n = 534$ ).

**10.) [12 marks]** In class, the effect of an additional fireplace on house price was estimated. The following two regression outputs have been reproduced from class:

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	171.824	3.234	53.13	<2e-16 ***
Fireplaces	66.699	3.947	16.90	<2e-16 ***

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 91.21 on 1726 degrees of freedom  
 Multiple R-squared: 0.142, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1415  
 F-statistic: 285.6 on 1 and 1726 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

and

```
summary(lm(Price ~ Fireplaces + Living.Area))
```

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
(Intercept)	14.730146	5.007563	2.942	0.00331 **
Fireplaces	8.962440	3.389656	2.644	0.00827 **
Living.Area	0.109313	0.003041	35.951	< 2e-16 ***

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 68.98 on 1725 degrees of freedom  
 Multiple R-squared: 0.5095, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5089  
 F-statistic: 895.9 on 2 and 1725 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Why is the estimated effect of an additional fireplace on price so different between the two regressions? Explain in detail. Your explanation should include a discussion of omitted variable bias.

END

**Econ 3040 – Midterm 2 Formula Sheet**

population linear regression model with one regressor

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

OLS estimator for the slope ( $\beta_1$ )

$$b_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

OLS estimator of the intercept ( $\beta_0$ )

$$b_0 = \bar{Y} - b_1 \bar{X}$$

OLS predicted values

$$\hat{Y}_i = b_0 + b_1 X_i$$

OLS residuals

$$e_i = Y_i - \hat{Y}_i$$

explained sum of squares

$$ESS = \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{Y}_i - \bar{Y})^2$$

total sum of squares

$$TSS = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$$

residual sum of squares

$$RSS = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2$$

R-squared

$$R^2 = \frac{ESS}{TSS} = 1 - \frac{RSS}{TSS}$$

adjusted-R-squared

$$\bar{R}^2 = 1 - \frac{RSS/(n - k - 1)}{TSS/(n - 1)}$$

$t$ -statistic for testing  $\beta_1$

$$t = \frac{b_1 - b_{1,0}}{s.e.(b_1)}$$

95% confidence interval for  $\beta_1$  (for large  $n$ )

$$b_1 \pm 1.96 \times s.e.(b_1)$$

