## 8 - Logarithmic functions of $Y$ and/or $X$

Another way to approximate the nonlinear relationship between $Y$ and $X$ is by using logarithms.

- In some rare cases, taking logarithms is not an approximation it is an exact way to linearize a relationship. Examples in macro/time-series
- In other cases, we can exploit a property of logs - small changes in $\log (x)$ are approximately percentage changes.
- How do percentage changes help us? It is a type of nonlinear effect. Example - wages and gender. (Regressions almost always use $\log$ (wage) on the LHS instead of just wage).


## Log-approximation

Percentage change:

$$
\frac{\Delta X}{X} \times 100=\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{x_{1}} \times 100
$$

$x_{1}$ is the initial value of $X, x_{2}$ is the final value of $X$.

The approximation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\ln (X+\Delta X)-\ln X] \times 100 \cong \frac{\Delta X}{X} \times 100} \\
& \left(\ln x_{2}-\ln x_{1}\right) \times 100 \cong \frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{x_{1}} \times 100
\end{aligned}
$$

The approximation is better the smaller $\Delta x$.

| Change in $x$ | Percentage change: <br> $\frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{x_{1}} \times 100$ | Approximated <br> percentage change <br> $\left(\ln x_{2}-\ln x_{1}\right) \times 100$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}=1, x_{2}=2$ | $100 \%$ | $69.32 \%$ |
| $x_{1}=1, x_{2}=1.1$ | $10 \%$ | $9.53 \%$ |
| $x_{1}=1, x_{2}=1.01$ | $1 \%$ | $0.995 \%$ |
| $x_{1}=5, x_{2}=6$ | $20 \%$ | $18.23 \%$ |
| $x_{1}=11, x_{2}=12$ | $9.09 \%$ | $8.70 \%$ |
| $x_{1}=11, x_{2}=11.1$ | $0.91 \%$ | $0.91 \%$ |

So how is this helpful?
Three log regression specifications

| Case | Population regression function |
| :--- | :---: |
| I. linear-log | $Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \ln (X)+\epsilon$ |
| II. $\log$-linear | $\ln (Y)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X+\epsilon$ |
| III. $\log -\log$ | $\ln (Y)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \ln (X)+\epsilon$ |

- The interpretation of the slope coefficient differs in each case.
- The interpretation can be found by figuring out the change in $Y$ for a given change in $X$.


## Interpretation of coefficients

lin-log: $Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \ln (X)+\epsilon$

- A $1 \%$ change in $X$ is associated with a $0.01 \beta_{1}$ change in $Y$
log-lin: $\ln (Y)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} X+\epsilon$
- A change in $X$ of 1 is associated with a $100 \beta_{1} \%$ change in $Y$
log-log: $\ln (Y)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \ln (X)+\epsilon$
- A $1 \%$ change in $X$ is associated with a $\beta_{1} \%$ change in $Y$
- $\beta_{1}$ can be interpreted as an elasticity

A note on $\mathrm{R}^{2}$
$\mathrm{R}^{2}$ measures the proportion of variation in the dependent $(Y)$ variable that can be explained using the $X$ variables.

- When we take $\log (Y)$, the variance of the dependent variable changes (it tends to get smaller)
- We cannot use $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ to compare models with different dependent variables! That is, we should not use $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ to decide between two models, where the LHS variable is wage in one, and $\log$ (wage) in the other.


## Example: CPS wages

insta11. packages("AER")
library(AER)
data("CPS1985")
attach(CPS1985)

Estimate log-lin model:
summary (lm(log(wage) ~ education + gender + age + experience))

## Coefficients:

```
    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 1.15357 0.69387 1.663 0.097 .
education 0.17746 0.11371 1.561 0.119
genderfema1e -0.25736 0.03948 -6.519 1.66e-10 ***
age -0.07961 0.11365 -0.700 0.484
experience 0.09234 0.11375 0.812 0.417
Signif. codes: 0 ‘***’ 0.001 ‘**’ 0.01 ‘*’ 0.05 ‘.’ 0.1 ‘' 1
```

Interpretation: 1 more year of education $\rightarrow 17.7 \%$ increase in wage, etc.

Dummy variables are a bit tricky: women earn $25.7 \%$ less than men (but it's actually $100 \times(\exp (-0.257)-1)=-22.7 \%)$

