

On Some Properties of Moduli of Smoothness with Jacobi Weights



Kirill A. Kopotun, Dany Leviatan, and Igor A. Shevchuk

*Dedicated to the memory of our friend, colleague, and collaborator
Yingkang Hu (July 6, 1949–March 11, 2016)*

Abstract We discuss some properties of the moduli of smoothness with Jacobi weights that we have recently introduced and that are defined as

$$\omega_{k,r}^{\varphi}(f^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} := \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \left\| \mathcal{W}_{kh}^{r/2+\alpha, r/2+\beta}(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(f^{(r)}, \cdot) \right\|_p,$$

where $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}$, $\Delta_h^k(f, x)$ is the k th symmetric difference of f on $[-1, 1]$,

$$\mathcal{W}_{\delta}^{\xi, \zeta}(x) := (1-x-\delta\varphi(x)/2)^{\xi} (1+x-\delta\varphi(x)/2)^{\zeta},$$

and $\alpha, \beta > -1/p$ if $0 < p < \infty$, and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ if $p = \infty$.

K. A. Kopotun (✉)

Department of Mathematics, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada
e-mail: kirill.kopotun@umanitoba.ca

D. Leviatan

Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
e-mail: leviatan@tauex.tau.ac.il

I. A. Shevchuk

Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine
e-mail: shevchuk@univ.kiev.ua

© Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

M. Abell et al. (eds.), *Topics in Classical and Modern Analysis*,
Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis,
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-12277-5_1

We show, among other things, that for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, polynomials P_n of degree $< n$ and sufficiently small t ,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{m,0}^\varphi(P_n, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} &\sim t \omega_{m-1,1}^\varphi(P'_n, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \sim \dots \sim t^{m-1} \omega_{1,m-1}^\varphi(P_n^{(m-1)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \\ &\sim t^m \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \varphi^m P_n^{(m)} \right\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

where $w_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$ is the usual Jacobi weight.

In the spirit of Yingkang Hu's work, we apply this to characterize the behavior of the polynomials of best approximation of a function in a Jacobi weighted L_p space, $0 < p \leq \infty$. Finally we discuss sharp Marchaud and Jackson type inequalities in the case $1 < p < \infty$.

AMS Classification 41A10, 41A17, 41A25

1 Introduction

Recall that the Jacobi weights are defined as $w_{\alpha,\beta}(x) := (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$, where parameters α and β are usually assumed to be such that $w_{\alpha,\beta} \in L_p[-1, 1]$, i.e.,

$$\alpha, \beta \in J_p := \begin{cases} (-1/p, \infty), & \text{if } 0 < p < \infty, \\ [0, \infty), & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

We denote by \mathbb{P}_n the set of all algebraic polynomials of degree $\leq n-1$, and $L_p^{\alpha,\beta}(I) := \{f \mid \|w_{\alpha,\beta} f\|_{L_p(I)} < \infty\}$, where $I \subseteq [-1, 1]$. For convenience, if $I = [-1, 1]$, then we omit I from the notation. For example, $\|\cdot\|_p := \|\cdot\|_{L_p[-1,1]}$, $L_p^{\alpha,\beta} := L_p^{\alpha,\beta}[-1, 1]$, etc.

Following [5] we denote $\mathbb{B}_p^0(w_{\alpha,\beta}) := L_p^{\alpha,\beta}$, and

$$\mathbb{B}_p^r(w_{\alpha,\beta}) := \left\{ f \mid f^{(r-1)} \in AC_{loc} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi^r f^{(r)} \in L_p^{\alpha,\beta} \right\}, \quad r \geq 1,$$

where AC_{loc} denotes the set of functions which are locally absolutely continuous in $(-1, 1)$, and $\varphi(x) := \sqrt{1-x^2}$. Also (see [5]), for $k, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathbb{B}_p^r(w_{\alpha,\beta})$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} &:= \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \left\| \mathcal{W}_{kh}^{r/2+\alpha, r/2+\beta}(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(f^{(r)}, \cdot) \right\|_p \\ &= \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \left\| \mathcal{W}_{kh}^{r/2+\alpha, r/2+\beta}(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(f^{(r)}, \cdot) \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{D}_{kh})}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\Delta_h^k(f, x; S) := \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} (-1)^{k-i} f(x - \frac{kh}{2} + ih), & \text{if } [x - \frac{kh}{2}, x + \frac{kh}{2}] \subseteq S, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is the k th symmetric difference, $\Delta_h^k(f, x) := \Delta_h^k(f, x; [-1, 1])$,

$$\mathcal{W}_\delta^{\xi, \zeta}(x) := (1 - x - \delta\varphi(x)/2)^\xi (1 + x - \delta\varphi(x)/2)^\zeta,$$

and

$$\mathfrak{D}_\delta := [-1 + \mu(\delta), 1 - \mu(\delta)], \quad \mu(\delta) := 2\delta^2/(4 + \delta^2)$$

(note that $\Delta_{h\varphi(x)}^k(f, x) = 0$ if $x \notin \mathfrak{D}_{kh}$).

We define the main part weighted modulus of smoothness as

$$\Omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, A, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p} := \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \left\| w_{\alpha, \beta}(\cdot) \varphi^r(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(f^{(r)}, \cdot; \mathcal{I}_{A,h}) \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{I}_{A,h})}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{A,h} := [-1 + Ah^2, 1 - Ah^2]$ and $A > 0$.

We also denote

$$\Psi_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p} := \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \left\| w_{\alpha, \beta}(\cdot) \varphi^r(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(f^{(r)}, \cdot) \right\|_p, \quad (1.3)$$

i.e., $\Psi_{k,r}^\varphi$ is “the main part modulus $\Omega_{k,r}^\varphi$ with $A = 0$.” However, we want to emphasize that while $\Omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, A, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p}$ with $A > 0$ and $\omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p}$ are bounded for all $f \in \mathbb{B}_p(\omega_{\alpha, \beta})$ (see [5, Lemma 2.4]), the modulus $\Psi_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p}$ may be infinite for such functions (for example, this is the case for f such that $f^{(r)}(x) = (1 - x)^{-\gamma}$ with $1/p \leq \gamma < \alpha + r/2 + 1/p$).

Remark 1.1 We note that the main part modulus is sometimes defined with the difference inside the norm not restricted to $\mathcal{I}_{A,h}$, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, A, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p} := \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \left\| w_{\alpha, \beta}(\cdot) \varphi^r(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(f^{(r)}, \cdot) \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{I}_{A,h})}. \quad (1.4)$$

Clearly, $\Omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, A, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p} \leq \tilde{\Omega}_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, A, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p}$. Moreover, we have an estimate in the opposite direction as well if we replace A with a larger constant A' . For example, $\tilde{\Omega}_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, A', t)_{\alpha, \beta, p} \leq \Omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, A, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p}$, where $A' = 2 \max\{A, k^2\}$ (see (2.9)). At the same time, if A is so small that $\mathfrak{D}_{kh} \subset \mathcal{I}_{A,h}$ (for example, if $A \leq k^2/4$), then $\tilde{\Omega}_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, A, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p} = \Psi_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p}$. Hence, all our results in this paper are valid with the modulus (1.2) replaced by (1.4) with an additional assumption that A is sufficiently large (assuming that $A \geq 2k^2$ will do).

Throughout this paper, we use the notation

$$q := \min\{1, p\},$$

and \mathfrak{q} stands for some sufficiently small positive constant depending only on α , β , k , and q , and independent of n , to be prescribed in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

2 The Main Result

The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $A > 0$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, $\alpha + r/2, \beta + r/2 \in J_p$, and let $0 < t \leq \mathfrak{q}n^{-1}$, where \mathfrak{q} is some positive constant that depends only on α , β , k , and q . Then, for any $P_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(P_n^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} &\sim \Psi_{k,r}^\varphi(P_n^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \sim \Omega_{k,r}^\varphi(P_n^{(r)}, A, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \\ &\sim t^k \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \varphi^{k+r} P_n^{(k+r)} \right\|_p, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where the equivalence constants depend only on k, r, α, β, A , and q .

The following is an immediate corollary of Theorem 2.1 by virtue of the fact that if $\alpha, \beta \in J_p$, then $\alpha + r/2, \beta + r/2 \in J_p$ for all $r \geq 0$.

Corollary 2.2 *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A > 0$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, $\alpha, \beta \in J_p$, and let $0 < t \leq \mathfrak{q}n^{-1}$. Then, for any $P_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$, and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $k + r = m$,*

$$\begin{aligned} t^{-k} \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(P_n^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} &\sim t^{-k} \Psi_{k,r}^\varphi(P_n^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \sim t^{-k} \Omega_{k,r}^\varphi(P_n^{(r)}, A, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \\ &\sim \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \varphi^m P_n^{(m)} \right\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

where the equivalence constants depend only on m, α, β, A , and q .

It was shown in [5, Corollary 1.9] that, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $r/2 + \alpha \geq 0$, $r/2 + \beta \geq 0$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $f \in \mathbb{B}_p^r(w_{\alpha,\beta})$, $\lambda \geq 1$, and all $t > 0$,

$$\omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, \lambda t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \leq c \lambda^k \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p}.$$

Hence, in the case $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we can strengthen Corollary 2.2 for the moduli $\omega_{k,r}^\varphi$. Namely, the following result is valid.

Corollary 2.3 *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\alpha, \beta \in J_p$, $\Lambda > 0$, and let $0 < t \leq \Lambda n^{-1}$. Then, for any $P_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$, and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $k + r = m$,*

$$t^{-k} \omega_{k,r}^\varphi(P_n^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \sim \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \varphi^m P_n^{(m)} \right\|_p,$$

where the equivalence constants depend only on m , α , β , and Λ .

Remark 2.4 In the case $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, several equivalences in Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 follow from [4, Theorems 4 and 5], since, as was shown in [5, (1.8)], for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \sim \omega_\varphi^k(f^{(r)}, t)_{w_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi^r,p}, \quad 0 < t \leq t_0, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\omega_\varphi^k(g, t)_{w,p}$ is the three-part weighted Ditzian–Totik modulus of smoothness (see, e.g., [5, (5.1)] for its definition).

Note that it is still an open problem if (2.2) is valid if $0 < p < 1$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 The main idea of the proof is not much different from that of [4, Theorems 3–5].

First, we note that it suffices to prove Theorem 2.1 in the case $r = 0$. Indeed, suppose we proved that, for $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A > 0$, $0 < t \leq \varrho n^{-1}$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, $\alpha, \beta \in J_p$, and any polynomial $Q_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{k,0}^\varphi(Q_n, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} &\sim \Psi_{k,0}^\varphi(Q_n, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \sim \Omega_{k,0}^\varphi(Q_n, A, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \\ &\sim t^k \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \varphi^k Q_n^{(k)} \right\|_p. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Then, if P_n is an arbitrary polynomial from \mathbb{P}_n , and r is an arbitrary natural number, assuming that $n > r$ (otherwise, $P_n^{(r)} \equiv 0$ and there is nothing to prove) and denoting $Q := P_n^{(r)} \in \mathbb{P}_{n-r}$, we have

$$\omega_{k,r}^\varphi(P_n^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} = \omega_{k,0}^\varphi(Q, t)_{\alpha+r/2, \beta+r/2, p},$$

$$\Psi_{k,r}^\varphi(P_n^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} = \Psi_{k,0}^\varphi(Q, t)_{\alpha+r/2, \beta+r/2, p},$$

$$\Omega_{k,r}^\varphi(P_n^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} = \Omega_{k,0}^\varphi(Q, A, t)_{\alpha+r/2, \beta+r/2, p},$$

and

$$\left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \varphi^{k+r} P_n^{(k+r)} \right\|_p = \left\| w_{\alpha+r/2, \beta+r/2} \varphi^k Q^{(k)} \right\|_p,$$

and so (2.1) follows from (2.3) with α and β replaced by $\alpha + r/2$ and $\beta + r/2$, respectively.

Now, note that it immediately follows from the definition that

$$\omega_{k,0}^\varphi(g, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \leq \Psi_{k,0}^\varphi(g, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p}.$$

Also, for $A > 0$,

$$\Omega_{k,0}^\varphi(g, A, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \leq c\omega_{k,0}^\varphi(g, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p},$$

since $w_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \leq c\mathcal{W}_{kh}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ for x such that $x \pm kh\varphi(x)/2 \in \mathcal{I}_{A,h}$.

Hence, in order to prove (2.3), it suffices to show that

$$\Psi_{k,0}^\varphi(Q_n, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \leq ct^k \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi^k Q_n^{(k)} \right\|_p \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$t^k \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi^k Q_n^{(k)} \right\|_p \leq c\Omega_{k,0}^\varphi(Q_n, A, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p}. \tag{2.5}$$

Recall the following Bernstein–Dzyadyk-type inequality that follows from [4, (2.24)]: if $0 < p \leq \infty$, $\alpha, \beta \in J_p$, and $P_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$, then

$$\left\| w_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi^s P_n' \right\|_p \leq cns \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi^{s-1} P_n \right\|_p, \quad 1 \leq s \leq n-1,$$

where c depends only on α, β and q , and is independent of n and s .

This implies that, for any $Q_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$ and $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\| w_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi^{k+j} Q_n^{(k+j)} \right\|_p \leq (c_0n)^j \frac{(k+j)!}{k!} \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi^k Q_n^{(k)} \right\|_p, \quad 1 \leq k+j \leq n-1. \tag{2.6}$$

We now use the following identity (see [4, (2.4)]):

for any $Q_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\Delta_{h\varphi(x)}^k(Q_n, x) = \sum_{i=0}^K \frac{1}{(2i)!} \varphi^{k+2i}(x) Q_n^{(k+2i)}(x) h^{k+2i} \xi_{k+2i}^{2i}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $K := \lfloor (n-1-k)/2 \rfloor$, and $\xi_j \in (-k/2, k/2)$ depends only on k and j .

Applying (2.6), we obtain, for $0 \leq i \leq K$ and $0 < h \leq t \leq \varrho n^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{(2i)!} w_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi^{k+2i} Q_n^{(k+2i)} \right\|_p h^{2i} |\xi_{k+2i}|^{2i} &\leq (c_0\varrho k/2)^{2i} \frac{(k+2i)!}{(2i)!k!} \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi^k Q_n^{(k)} \right\|_p \\ &\leq [c_0\varrho k(k+1)/2]^{2i} \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi^k Q_n^{(k)} \right\|_p \\ &\leq B^{2i} \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi^k Q_n^{(k)} \right\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the estimate $(k+2i)!/((2i)!k!) \leq (k+1)^{2i}$, and where ϱ is taken so small that the last estimate holds with $B := (1/3)^{1/(2q)}$. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B^{2iq} = 1/2$.

Hence, it follows from (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \Delta_{h\varphi}^k(Q_n, \cdot) \right\|_p^q &\leq h^{kq} \sum_{i=0}^K \left\| \frac{1}{(2i)!} w_{\alpha,\beta} \varphi^{k+2i} Q_n^{(k+2i)} \right\|_p^q h^{2iq} |\xi|_{k+2i}^{2iq} \\ &\leq h^{kq} \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \varphi^k Q_n^{(k)} \right\|_p^q \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^K B^{2iq} \right) \\ &\leq 3/2 \cdot h^{kq} \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \varphi^k Q_n^{(k)} \right\|_p^q. \end{aligned}$$

This immediately implies

$$\Psi_{k,0}^{\varphi}(Q_n, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \leq (3/2)^{1/q} t^k \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \varphi^k Q_n^{(k)} \right\|_p,$$

and so (2.4) is proved.

Recall now the following Remez-type inequality (see, e.g., [4, (2.22)]):

If $0 < p \leq \infty$, $\alpha, \beta \in J_p$, $a \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $n > \sqrt{a}$, and $P_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$, then

$$\left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} P_n \right\|_p \leq c \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} P_n \right\|_{L_p[-1+an^{-2}, 1-an^{-2}]}, \quad (2.8)$$

where c depends only on α, β, a , and q .

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{k,0}^{\varphi}(Q_n, A, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} &= \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta}(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(Q_n, \cdot; \mathcal{I}_{A,h}) \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{I}_{A,h})} \\ &= \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta}(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(Q_n, \cdot) \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{S}_{k,A,h})}, \end{aligned}$$

where the set $\mathcal{S}_{k,A,h}$ is an interval containing all x so that $x \pm kh\varphi(x)/2 \in \mathcal{I}_{A,h}$. Observe that

$$\mathcal{S}_{k,A,h} \supset \mathcal{I}_{A',h},$$

where $A' := 2 \max\{A, k^2\}$, and so

$$\Omega_{k,0}^{\varphi}(Q_n, A, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \geq \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta}(\cdot) \Delta_{h\varphi(\cdot)}^k(Q_n, \cdot) \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{I}_{A',h})}. \quad (2.9)$$

Now it follows from (2.7) that $\Delta_{h\varphi(x)}^k(Q_n, x)$ is a polynomial from \mathbb{P}_n if k is even, and it is a polynomial from \mathbb{P}_{n-1} multiplied by φ if k is odd.

Hence, (2.8) implies that, for $h \leq 1/(\sqrt{2A'n})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \Delta_{h\varphi}^k(Q_n, \cdot) \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{I}_{A',h})} &\geq \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \Delta_{h\varphi}^k(Q_n, \cdot) \right\|_{L_p[-1+n^{-2}/2, 1-n^{-2}/2]} \\ &\geq c \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \Delta_{h\varphi}^k(Q_n, \cdot) \right\|_p. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

It now follows from (2.7) that

$$\Delta_{h\varphi(x)}^k(Q_n, x) - \varphi^k(x) Q_n^{(k)}(x) h^k = \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{1}{(2i)!} \varphi^{k+2i}(x) Q_n^{(k+2i)}(x) h^{k+2i} \xi_{k+2i}^{2i},$$

and so, as above,

$$\left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \left(\Delta_{h\varphi}^k(Q_n, \cdot) - \varphi^k Q_n^{(k)} h^k \right) \right\|_p^q \leq 1/2 \cdot h^{kq} \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \varphi^k Q_n^{(k)} \right\|_p^q.$$

Therefore,

$$\left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \Delta_{h\varphi}^k(Q_n, \cdot) \right\|_p^q \geq 1/2 \cdot h^{kq} \left\| w_{\alpha,\beta} \varphi^k Q_n^{(k)} \right\|_p^q,$$

which combined with (2.9) and (2.10) implies (2.5). \square

3 The Polynomials of Best Approximation

For $f \in L_p^{\alpha,\beta}$, let $P_n^* = P_n^*(f) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ and $E_n(f)_{w_{\alpha,\beta},p}$ be a polynomial and the degree of its best weighted approximation, respectively, i.e.,

$$E_n(f)_{w_{\alpha,\beta},p} := \inf_{p_n \in \mathbb{P}_n} \|w_{\alpha,\beta}(f - p_n)\|_p = \|w_{\alpha,\beta}(f - P_n^*)\|_p.$$

Recall (see [5, Lemma 2.4] and [6, Theorem 1.4]) that if $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$, then, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < p \leq \infty$ and $f \in L_p^{\alpha,\beta}$,

$$\omega_{k,0}^\varphi(f, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \leq c \|w_{\alpha,\beta} f\|_p, \quad t > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

with c depending only on k , α , β , and q . Also, for any $0 < \vartheta \leq 1$,

$$E_n(f)_{w_{\alpha,\beta},p} \leq c \omega_{k,0}^\varphi(f, \vartheta n^{-1})_{\alpha,\beta,p}, \quad n \geq k, \quad (3.2)$$

where c depends on ϑ as well as $k, \alpha, \beta,$ and q .

Theorem 3.1 *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha, \beta \geq 0, 0 < p \leq \infty,$ and $f \in L_p^{\alpha, \beta}$. Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N},$*

$$n^{-k} \|w_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi^k P_n^{*(k)}\|_p \leq c \omega_{k, 0}^\varphi(P_n^*, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p} \leq c \omega_{k, 0}^\varphi(f, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p}, \quad t \geq \varrho n^{-1}, \quad (3.3)$$

where constants c depend only on $k, \alpha, \beta,$ and q .

Conversely, for $0 < t \leq \varrho/k$ and $n := \lfloor \varrho/t \rfloor,$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{k, 0}^\varphi(f, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p} &\leq c \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \omega_{k, 0}^\varphi(P_{2^j n}^*, \varrho 2^{-j} n^{-1})_{\alpha, \beta, p}^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq c \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-jkq} n^{-kq} \|w_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi^k P_{2^j n}^{*(k)}\|_p^q \right)^{1/q}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where c depends only on $k, \alpha, \beta,$ and q .

Corollary 3.2 *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha, \beta \geq 0, 0 < p \leq \infty, f \in L_p^{\alpha, \beta},$ and $\gamma > 0.$ Then,*

$$\|w_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi^k P_n^{*(k)}\|_p = O(n^{k-\gamma}) \quad \text{iff} \quad \omega_{k, 0}^\varphi(f, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p} = O(t^\gamma). \quad (3.5)$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1 In order to prove (3.3), one may assume that $n \geq k$. By Theorem 2.1 we have

$$n^{-k} \|w_{\alpha, \beta} \varphi^k P_n^{*(k)}\|_p \leq c \varrho^{-k} \omega_{k, 0}^\varphi(P_n^*, \varrho n^{-1})_{\alpha, \beta, p} \leq c \omega_{k, 0}^\varphi(P_n^*, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p}.$$

At the same time, by (3.1) and (3.2) with $\vartheta = \varrho,$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{k, 0}^\varphi(P_n^*, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p}^q &\leq \omega_{k, 0}^\varphi(f - P_n^*, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p}^q + \omega_{k, 0}^\varphi(f, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p}^q \\ &\leq c \|w_{\alpha, \beta}(f - P_n^*)\|_p^q + \omega_{k, 0}^\varphi(f, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p}^q \\ &\leq c \omega_{k, 0}^\varphi(f, \varrho n^{-1})_{\alpha, \beta, p}^q + \omega_{k, 0}^\varphi(f, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p}^q \\ &\leq c \omega_{k, 0}^\varphi(f, t)_{\alpha, \beta, p}^q, \end{aligned}$$

and (3.3) follows.

In order to prove (3.4) we follow [4]. Assume that $0 < t \leq \varrho/k$ and note that $n = \lfloor \varrho/t \rfloor \geq k$. Let $\hat{P}_n \in \mathbb{P}_n$ be a polynomial of best weighted approximation of P_{2n}^* , i.e.,

$$I_n := \left\| w_{\alpha, \beta} (P_{2n}^* - \hat{P}_n) \right\|_p = E_n(P_{2n}^*)_{w_{\alpha, \beta, p}}.$$

Then, (3.2) with $\vartheta = \boldsymbol{q}/2$ implies that

$$I_n \leq c\omega_{k,0}^\varphi(P_{2n}^*, \boldsymbol{q}(2n)^{-1})_{\alpha,\beta,p},$$

while

$$I_n^q \geq \|w_{\alpha,\beta}(f - \hat{P}_n)\|_p^q - \|w_{\alpha,\beta}(f - P_{2n}^*)\|_p^q \geq E_n(f)_{w_{\alpha,\beta,p}}^q - E_{2n}(f)_{w_{\alpha,\beta,p}}^q.$$

Combining the above inequalities we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(f)_{w_{\alpha,\beta,p}}^q &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (E_{2^j n}(f)_{w_{\alpha,\beta,p}}^q - E_{2^{j+1}n}(f)_{w_{\alpha,\beta,p}}^q) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} I_{2^j n}^q \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \omega_{k,0}^\varphi(P_{2^j n}^*, \boldsymbol{q}2^{-j}n^{-1})_{\alpha,\beta,p}^q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{k,0}^\varphi(f, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p}^q &\leq c\omega_{k,0}^\varphi(f - P_n^*, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p}^q + c\omega_{k,0}^\varphi(P_n^*, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p}^q \\ &\leq cE_n(f)_{w_{\alpha,\beta,p}}^q + c\omega_{k,0}^\varphi(P_n^*, \boldsymbol{q}n^{-1})_{\alpha,\beta,p}^q \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \omega_{k,0}^\varphi(P_{2^j n}^*, \boldsymbol{q}2^{-j}n^{-1})_{\alpha,\beta,p}^q \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-jkq} n^{-kq} \|w_{\alpha,\beta} \varphi^k P_{2^j n}^{*(k)}\|_p^q, \end{aligned}$$

where, for the last inequality, we used Theorem 2.1. This completes the proof of (3.4). \square

4 Further Properties of the Moduli

Following [5, Definition 1.4], for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $f \in \mathbb{B}_p^r(w_{\alpha,\beta})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we define the weighted K -functional as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &K_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t^k)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \\ &:= \inf_{g \in \mathbb{B}_p^{k+r}(w_{\alpha,\beta})} \left\{ \|w_{\alpha,\beta} \varphi^r (f^{(r)} - g^{(r)})\|_p + t^k \|w_{\alpha,\beta} \varphi^{k+r} g^{(k+r)}\|_p \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$K_{k,\varphi}(f, t^k)_{w_{\alpha,\beta},p} = K_{k,0}^\varphi(f, t^k)_{\alpha,\beta,p},$$

where $K_{k,\varphi}(f, t^k)_{w,p}$ is the weighted K -functional that was defined in [3, p. 55 (6.1.1)] as

$$K_{k,\varphi}(f, t^k)_{w,p} := \inf_{g \in \mathbb{B}_p^k(w)} \{ \|w(f - g)\|_p + t^k \|w\varphi^k g^{(k)}\|_p \}.$$

The following lemma immediately follows from [5, Corollary 1.7].

Lemma 4.1 *If $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $r/2 + \alpha \geq 0$, $r/2 + \beta \geq 0$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $f \in \mathbb{B}_p^r(w_{\alpha,\beta})$, then, for all $0 < t \leq 2/k$,*

$$K_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t^k)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \leq c\omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \leq cK_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t^k)_{\alpha,\beta,p}.$$

Hence,

$$\omega_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \sim K_{k,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t^k)_{\alpha,\beta,p} = K_{k,\varphi}(f^{(r)}, t^k)_{w_{\alpha+r/2,\beta+r/2},p}, \quad (4.1)$$

provided that all conditions in Lemma 4.1 are satisfied.

The following sharp Marchaud inequality was proved in [1] for $f \in L_p^{\alpha,\beta}$, $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 4.2 ([1, Theorem 7.5]) *For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < p < \infty$, and $\alpha, \beta \in J_p$, we have*

$$K_{m,\varphi}(f, t^m)_{w_{\alpha,\beta},p} \leq Ct^m \left(\int_t^1 \frac{K_{m+1,\varphi}(f, u^{m+1})_{w_{\alpha,\beta},p}^{s_*}}{u^{ms_*+1}} du + E_m(f)_{w_{\alpha,\beta},p}^{s_*} \right)^{1/s_*}$$

and

$$K_{m,\varphi}(f, t^m)_{w_{\alpha,\beta},p} \leq Ct^m \left(\sum_{n < 1/t} n^{s_*m-1} E_n(f)_{w_{\alpha,\beta},p}^{s_*} \right)^{1/s_*},$$

where $s_* = \min\{2, p\}$.

In view of (4.1), the following result holds.

Corollary 4.3 For $1 < p < \infty$, $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $r/2 + \alpha \geq 0$, $r/2 + \beta \geq 0$, and $f \in \mathbb{B}_p^r(w_{\alpha,\beta})$, we have

$$\omega_{m,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \leq Ct^m \left(\int_t^1 \frac{\omega_{m+1,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, u)_{\alpha,\beta,p}^{s_*}}{u^{ms_*+1}} du + E_m(f^{(r)})_{w_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi^r,p}^{s_*} \right)^{1/s_*}$$

and

$$\omega_{m,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p} \leq Ct^m \left(\sum_{n < 1/t} n^{s_*m-1} E_n(f^{(r)})_{w_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi^r,p}^{s_*} \right)^{1/s_*},$$

where $s_* = \min\{2, p\}$.

The following sharp Jackson inequality was proved in [2].

Theorem 4.4 ([2, Theorem 6.2]) For $1 < p < \infty$, $\alpha, \beta \in J_p$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$2^{-nm} \left(\sum_{j=j_0}^n 2^{mjs_*} E_{2^j}(f)_{w_{\alpha,\beta,p}}^{s_*} \right)^{1/s_*} \leq CK_{m,\varphi}(f, 2^{-nm})_{w_{\alpha,\beta,p}}$$

and

$$2^{-nm} \left(\sum_{j=j_0}^n 2^{mjs_*} K_{m+1,\varphi}(f, 2^{-j(m+1)})_{w_{\alpha,\beta,p}}^{s_*} \right)^{1/s_*} \leq CK_{m,\varphi}(f, 2^{-nm})_{w_{\alpha,\beta,p}},$$

where $2^{j_0} \geq m$ and $s_* = \max\{p, 2\}$.

Again, by virtue of (4.1), we have

Corollary 4.5 For $1 < p < \infty$, $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $r/2 + \alpha \geq 0$, $r/2 + \beta \geq 0$, and $f \in \mathbb{B}_p^r(w_{\alpha,\beta})$, we have

$$2^{-nm} \left(\sum_{j=j_0}^n 2^{mjs_*} E_{2^j}(f^{(r)})_{w_{\alpha,\beta}\varphi^r,p}^{s_*} \right)^{1/s_*} \leq C\omega_{m,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, 2^{-n})_{\alpha,\beta,p}$$

and

$$2^{-nm} \left(\sum_{j=j_0}^n 2^{mjs_*} \omega_{m+1,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, 2^{-j})_{\alpha,\beta,p}^{s_*} \right)^{1/s_*} \leq C\omega_{m,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, 2^{-n})_{\alpha,\beta,p},$$

where $2^{j_0} \geq m$ and $s_* = \max\{p, 2\}$.

Corollary 4.6 For $1 < p < \infty$, $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $r/2 + \alpha \geq 0$, $r/2 + \beta \geq 0$, and $f \in \mathbb{B}_p^r(w_{\alpha,\beta})$, we have

$$t^m \left(\int_t^{1/m} \frac{\omega_{m+1,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, u)_{\alpha,\beta,p}^{s^*}}{u^{ms^*+1}} du \right)^{1/s^*} \leq C \omega_{m,r}^\varphi(f^{(r)}, t)_{\alpha,\beta,p}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1/m,$$

where $s^* = \max\{p, 2\}$.

Acknowledgement The first author was supported by NSERC of Canada Discovery Grant RGPIN 04215-15.

References

1. F. Dai, Z. Ditzian, Littlewood-Paley theory and a sharp Marchaud inequality. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **71**(1–2), 65–90 (2005)
2. F. Dai, Z. Ditzian, S. Tikhonov, Sharp Jackson inequalities. *J. Approx. Theory* **151**(1), 86–112 (2008)
3. Z. Ditzian, V. Totik, *Moduli of Smoothness*. Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, vol. 9 (Springer, New York, 1987)
4. Y. Hu, Y. Liu, On equivalence of moduli of smoothness of polynomials in L_p , $0 < p \leq \infty$. *J. Approx. Theory* **136**(2), 182–197 (2005)
5. K.A. Kopotun, D. Leviatan, I.A. Shevchuk, On moduli of smoothness with Jacobi weights. *Ukr. Math. J.* **70**(3), 379–403 (2018)
6. K.A. Kopotun, D. Leviatan, I.A. Shevchuk, On weighted approximation with Jacobi weights. *J. Approx. Theory* **237**, 96–112 (2019)