

Preservers on tensor product of matrices and vectors

Chi-Kwong Li
Department of Mathematics
The College of William and Mary

Basic problem

- **Preserver problems** concern the characterization of maps on matrices, operators, or other algebraic objects with special properties.

Basic problem

- **Preserver problems** concern the characterization of maps on matrices, operators, or other algebraic objects with special properties.
- In quantum information science, image processing, study of large data sets, etc. one uses the tensor products of matrices and vectors of the form

$$A \otimes B = (a_{ij}B) \in M_m \otimes M_n, \quad x \otimes y = (x_i y) \in \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, \text{ etc.}$$

Basic problem

- **Preserver problems** concern the characterization of maps on matrices, operators, or other algebraic objects with special properties.
- In quantum information science, image processing, study of large data sets, etc. one uses the tensor products of matrices and vectors of the form

$$A \otimes B = (a_{ij}B) \in M_m \otimes M_n, \quad x \otimes y = (x_i y) \in \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, \text{ etc.}$$

- Of course, such matrices and vectors are (relatively) easy to handle but have measure 0 if $m, n > 1$.

Basic problem

- **Preserver problems** concern the characterization of maps on matrices, operators, or other algebraic objects with special properties.
- In quantum information science, image processing, study of large data sets, etc. one uses the tensor products of matrices and vectors of the form

$$A \otimes B = (a_{ij}B) \in M_m \otimes M_n, \quad x \otimes y = (x_i y) \in \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, \text{ etc.}$$

- Of course, such matrices and vectors are (relatively) easy to handle but have measure 0 if $m, n > 1$.
- In mathematics, ones hope to use the mildest assumption and get the strongest conclusion.

Basic problem

- **Preserver problems** concern the characterization of maps on matrices, operators, or other algebraic objects with special properties.
- In quantum information science, image processing, study of large data sets, etc. one uses the tensor products of matrices and vectors of the form

$$A \otimes B = (a_{ij}B) \in M_m \otimes M_n, \quad x \otimes y = (x_i y) \in \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, \text{ etc.}$$

- Of course, such matrices and vectors are (relatively) easy to handle but have measure 0 if $m, n > 1$.
- In mathematics, ones hope to use the mildest assumption and get the strongest conclusion.
- Actually, the same is true for other study (and professions).

Basic problem

- **Preserver problems** concern the characterization of maps on matrices, operators, or other algebraic objects with special properties.
- In quantum information science, image processing, study of large data sets, etc. one uses the tensor products of matrices and vectors of the form

$$A \otimes B = (a_{ij}B) \in M_m \otimes M_n, \quad x \otimes y = (x_i y_j) \in \mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n, \text{ etc.}$$

- Of course, such matrices and vectors are (relatively) easy to handle but have measure 0 if $m, n > 1$.
- In mathematics, ones hope to use the mildest assumption and get the strongest conclusion.
- Actually, the same is true for other study (and professions).
- One would test a limited number of cases or measurements (of special types), and deduce useful information.

Orthogonal projections

Let H_N be the set of $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices, and let P_N be the set of rank one orthogonal projections in H_N .

Orthogonal projections

Let H_N be the set of $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices, and let P_N be the set of rank one orthogonal projections in H_N .

Lemma [Helton and Rodman, 1985]

A linear map $L : M_N \rightarrow M_N$ satisfies $L(P_N) = P_N$ if and only if it has the form

$$A \mapsto UAU^* \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto UA^tU^*.$$

Maps on tensor product

Theorem [Flfesen & Shultz, 2010], [Friedland, Li, Poon, Sze, 2010]

Let \mathcal{S} be the set

$$\{A \otimes B : A \in P_m, B \in P_n\},$$

or its convex hull, i.e., the set of **separable states** in M_{mn} .

Maps on tensor product

Theorem [Flfesen & Shultz, 2010], [Friedland, Li, Poon, Sze, 2010]

Let \mathcal{S} be the set

$$\{A \otimes B : A \in P_m, B \in P_n\},$$

or its convex hull, i.e., the set of **separable states** in M_{mn} .

A linear map $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ satisfies $L(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$ if and only if there are unitary $U \in M_m$ and $V \in M_n$ such that

Maps on tensor product

Theorem [Filsen & Shultz, 2010], [Friedland, Li, Poon, Sze, 2010]

Let \mathcal{S} be the set

$$\{A \otimes B : A \in P_m, B \in P_n\},$$

or its convex hull, i.e., the set of **separable states** in M_{mn} .

A linear map $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ satisfies $L(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$ if and only if there are unitary $U \in M_m$ and $V \in M_n$ such that

- $L(A \otimes B) = L_1(A) \otimes L_2(B)$ for $A \otimes B \in H_{mn}$, or

Maps on tensor product

Theorem [Filsen & Shultz, 2010], [Friedland, Li, Poon, Sze, 2010]

Let \mathcal{S} be the set

$$\{A \otimes B : A \in P_m, B \in P_n\},$$

or its convex hull, i.e., the set of **separable states** in M_{mn} .

A linear map $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ satisfies $L(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$ if and only if there are unitary $U \in M_m$ and $V \in M_n$ such that

- $L(A \otimes B) = L_1(A) \otimes L_2(B)$ for $A \otimes B \in H_{mn}$, or
- $m = n$ and $L(A \otimes B) = L_2(B) \otimes L_1(A)$ for $A \otimes B \in H_{mn}$,

Maps on tensor product

Theorem [Filsen & Shultz, 2010], [Friedland, Li, Poon, Sze, 2010]

Let \mathcal{S} be the set

$$\{A \otimes B : A \in P_m, B \in P_n\},$$

or its convex hull, i.e., the set of **separable states** in M_{mn} .

A linear map $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ satisfies $L(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}$ if and only if there are unitary $U \in M_m$ and $V \in M_n$ such that

- $L(A \otimes B) = L_1(A) \otimes L_2(B)$ for $A \otimes B \in H_{mn}$, or
- $m = n$ and $L(A \otimes B) = L_2(B) \otimes L_1(A)$ for $A \otimes B \in H_{mn}$,

where L_1 has the form $A \mapsto UAU^*$ or $A \mapsto UA^tU^*$,
and L_2 has the form $B \mapsto VBV^*$ or $B \mapsto VB^tV^*$.

Extension to multi-partite systems

Theorem [FLPS,2010]

Suppose $n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_k \geq 2$ are positive integers with $k > 1$ and $N = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$.

Extension to multi-partite systems

Theorem [FLPS,2010]

Suppose $n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_k \geq 2$ are positive integers with $k > 1$ and $N = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$.
The following are equivalent for a linear map $L : H_N \rightarrow H_N$.

Extension to multi-partite systems

Theorem [FLPS,2010]

Suppose $n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_k \geq 2$ are positive integers with $k > 1$ and $N = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$. The following are equivalent for a linear map $L : H_N \rightarrow H_N$.

(a) $L \left(\otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right) = \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i}$.

Extension to multi-partite systems

Theorem [FLPS,2010]

Suppose $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 2$ are positive integers with $k > 1$ and $N = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$. The following are equivalent for a linear map $L : H_N \rightarrow H_N$.

- (a) $L \left(\otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right) = \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i}$.
- (b) $L \left(\text{conv} \left(\otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right) \right) = \text{conv} \left(\otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right)$.

Extension to multi-partite systems

Theorem [FLPS,2010]

Suppose $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 2$ are positive integers with $k > 1$ and $N = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$. The following are equivalent for a linear map $L : H_N \rightarrow H_N$.

- (a) $L \left(\otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right) = \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i}$.
- (b) $L \left(\text{conv} \left(\otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right) \right) = \text{conv} \left(\otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right)$.
- (c) There is a **permutation** π on $\{1, \dots, k\}$ and linear maps L_i on H_{n_i} for $i = 1, \dots, k$ such that

$$L \left(\otimes_{i=1}^k A_i \right) = \otimes_{i=1}^k L_i \left(A_{\pi(i)} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad \otimes_{i=1}^k A_i \in \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i},$$

Extension to multi-partite systems

Theorem [FLPS,2010]

Suppose $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 2$ are positive integers with $k > 1$ and $N = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$. The following are equivalent for a linear map $L : H_N \rightarrow H_N$.

- (a) $L \left(\otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right) = \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i}$.
- (b) $L \left(\text{conv} \left(\otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right) \right) = \text{conv} \left(\otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i} \right)$.
- (c) There is a **permutation** π on $\{1, \dots, k\}$ and linear maps L_i on H_{n_i} for $i = 1, \dots, k$ such that

$$L \left(\otimes_{i=1}^k A_i \right) = \otimes_{i=1}^k L_i \left(A_{\pi(i)} \right) \quad \text{for } \otimes_{i=1}^k A_i \in \otimes_{i=1}^k P_{n_i},$$

where L_i has the form

$$X \mapsto U_i X U_i^* \quad \text{or} \quad X \mapsto U_i X^t U_i^*,$$

for some unitary $U_i \in M_{n_i}$ and $n_{\pi(i)} = n_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Key lemma, and further extensions

In our proof, we extended a result in [Baruch and Loewy, 1993] to the following.

[Friedland, Li, Poon, Sze, 2010]

A linear map $L : M_r \rightarrow M_s$ satisfies $L(P_r) \subseteq P_s$ if and only if one of the following holds.

- (a) There is $S \in P_s$ such that L has the form $A \mapsto (\text{tr } A)S$.
- (b) There is an $s \times r$ matrix U such that $UU^* = I_s$ and L has the form

$$A \mapsto UAU^* \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto UA^tU^*.$$

Key lemma, and further extensions

In our proof, we extended a result in [Baruch and Loewy, 1993] to the following.

[Friedland, Li, Poon, Sze, 2010]

A linear map $L : M_r \rightarrow M_s$ satisfies $L(P_r) \subseteq P_s$ if and only if one of the following holds.

- (a) There is $S \in P_s$ such that L has the form $A \mapsto (\text{tr } A)S$.
- (b) There is an $s \times r$ matrix U such that $UU^* = I_s$ and L has the form

$$A \mapsto UAU^* \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto UA^tU^*.$$

- We needed this because we considered induced maps $L_A : H_n \rightarrow H_{m \cdot n}$ defined by $L_A(B) = L(A \otimes B)$.

Key lemma, and further extensions

In our proof, we extended a result in [Baruch and Loewy, 1993] to the following.

[Friedland, Li, Poon, Sze, 2010]

A linear map $L : M_r \rightarrow M_s$ satisfies $L(P_r) \subseteq P_s$ if and only if one of the following holds.

- (a) There is $S \in P_s$ such that L has the form $A \mapsto (\text{tr } A)S$.
- (b) There is an $s \times r$ matrix U such that $UU^* = I_s$ and L has the form

$$A \mapsto UAU^* \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto UA^tU^*.$$

- We needed this because we considered induced maps $L_A : H_n \rightarrow H_{m \cdot n}$ defined by $L_A(B) = L(A \otimes B)$. (A locality technique?)

Key lemma, and further extensions

In our proof, we extended a result in [Baruch and Loewy, 1993] to the following.

[Friedland, Li, Poon, Sze, 2010]

A linear map $L : M_r \rightarrow M_s$ satisfies $L(P_r) \subseteq P_s$ if and only if one of the following holds.

- (a) There is $S \in P_s$ such that L has the form $A \mapsto (\text{tr } A)S$.
- (b) There is an $s \times r$ matrix U such that $UU^* = I_s$ and L has the form

$$A \mapsto UAU^* \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto UA^tU^*.$$

- We needed this because we considered induced maps $L_A : H_n \rightarrow H_{m \cdot n}$ defined by $L_A(B) = L(A \otimes B)$. (A locality technique?)
- Thus, we have to study maps $L : M_r \rightarrow M_s$ with $r \neq s$.

Key lemma, and further extensions

In our proof, we extended a result in [Baruch and Loewy, 1993] to the following.

[Friedland, Li, Poon, Sze, 2010]

A linear map $L : M_r \rightarrow M_s$ satisfies $L(P_r) \subseteq P_s$ if and only if one of the following holds.

- (a) There is $S \in P_s$ such that L has the form $A \mapsto (\text{tr } A)S$.
- (b) There is an $s \times r$ matrix U such that $UU^* = I_s$ and L has the form

$$A \mapsto UAU^* \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto UA^tU^*.$$

- We needed this because we considered induced maps $L_A : H_n \rightarrow H_{m \cdot n}$ defined by $L_A(B) = L(A \otimes B)$. (A locality technique?)
- Thus, we have to study maps $L : M_r \rightarrow M_s$ with $r \neq s$.
- There are extensions of the results to the infinite dimensional setting in [Hou et al., 2011].

Key lemma, and further extensions

In our proof, we extended a result in [Baruch and Loewy, 1993] to the following.

[Friedland, Li, Poon, Sze, 2010]

A linear map $L : M_r \rightarrow M_s$ satisfies $L(P_r) \subseteq P_s$ if and only if one of the following holds.

- (a) There is $S \in P_s$ such that L has the form $A \mapsto (\text{tr } A)S$.
- (b) There is an $s \times r$ matrix U such that $UU^* = I_s$ and L has the form

$$A \mapsto UAU^* \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto UA^tU^*.$$

- We needed this because we considered induced maps $L_A : H_n \rightarrow H_{m \cdot n}$ defined by $L_A(B) = L(A \otimes B)$. (A locality technique?)
- Thus, we have to study maps $L : M_r \rightarrow M_s$ with $r \neq s$.
- There are extensions of the results to the infinite dimensional setting in [Hou et al., 2011].
- There are results by [Lim, 2011] on additive/linear maps sending

$$P_{m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{m_k} \text{ to } P_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{n_k}.$$

Key lemma, and further extensions

In our proof, we extended a result in [Baruch and Loewy, 1993] to the following.

[Friedland, Li, Poon, Sze, 2010]

A linear map $L : M_r \rightarrow M_s$ satisfies $L(P_r) \subseteq P_s$ if and only if one of the following holds.

- (a) There is $S \in P_s$ such that L has the form $A \mapsto (\text{tr } A)S$.
- (b) There is an $s \times r$ matrix U such that $UU^* = I_s$ and L has the form

$$A \mapsto UAU^* \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto UA^tU^*.$$

- We needed this because we considered induced maps $L_A : H_n \rightarrow H_{m \cdot n}$ defined by $L_A(B) = L(A \otimes B)$. (A locality technique?)
- Thus, we have to study maps $L : M_r \rightarrow M_s$ with $r \neq s$.
- There are extensions of the results to the infinite dimensional setting in [Hou et al., 2011].
- There are results by [Lim, 2011] on additive/linear maps sending

$$P_{m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{m_k} \text{ to } P_{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes P_{n_k}.$$

- One may send $A_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes A_k$ into $H_{n_1} \otimes \{B_2\} \otimes \cdots \otimes \{B_k\}$.

Some recent study

Recently, Kuo considered linear maps $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ such that

$L(A \otimes B)$ is a projection whenever $(A, B) \in (P_m, P_n)$.

Some recent study

Recently, Kuo considered linear maps $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ such that

$L(A \otimes B)$ is a projection whenever $(A, B) \in (P_m, P_n)$.

Theorem [Kuo et. al., 2014]

A linear map $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ satisfies $\|L(I)\| \leq 1$, and

$L(A \otimes B)$ is a projection whenever $A \in P_m, B \in P_n$

Some recent study

Recently, Kuo considered linear maps $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ such that

$L(A \otimes B)$ is a projection whenever $(A, B) \in (P_m, P_n)$.

Theorem [Kuo et. al., 2014]

A linear map $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ satisfies $\|L(I)\| \leq 1$, and

$L(A \otimes B)$ is a projection whenever $A \in P_m, B \in P_n$

if and only if L has the standard form

$$A \otimes B \mapsto U(L_1(A) \otimes L_2(B))U^*$$

for some unitary U , where $L_i(X) = X$ or $L_i(X) = X^t$.

Some recent study

Recently, Kuo considered linear maps $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ such that

$L(A \otimes B)$ is a projection whenever $(A, B) \in (P_m, P_n)$.

Theorem [Kuo et. al., 2014]

A linear map $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ satisfies $\|L(I)\| \leq 1$, and

$L(A \otimes B)$ is a projection whenever $A \in P_m, B \in P_n$

if and only if L has the standard form

$$A \otimes B \mapsto U(L_1(A) \otimes L_2(B))U^*$$

for some unitary U , where $L_i(X) = X$ or $L_i(X) = X^t$.

There are other preservers if one does not impose the condition $\|L(I)\| \leq 1$.

Some recent study

Recently, Kuo considered linear maps $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ such that

$L(A \otimes B)$ is a projection whenever $(A, B) \in (P_m, P_n)$.

Theorem [Kuo et. al., 2014]

A linear map $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ satisfies $\|L(I)\| \leq 1$, and

$L(A \otimes B)$ is a projection whenever $A \in P_m, B \in P_n$

if and only if L has the standard form

$$A \otimes B \mapsto U(L_1(A) \otimes L_2(B))U^*$$

for some unitary U , where $L_i(X) = X$ or $L_i(X) = X^t$.

There are other preservers if one does not impose the condition $\|L(I)\| \leq 1$.

(a) $X \mapsto (\text{tr } X)R$ for a fixed orthogonal projection.

Some recent study

Recently, Kuo considered linear maps $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ such that

$L(A \otimes B)$ is a projection whenever $(A, B) \in (P_m, P_n)$.

Theorem [Kuo et. al., 2014]

A linear map $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ satisfies $\|L(I)\| \leq 1$, and

$L(A \otimes B)$ is a projection whenever $A \in P_m, B \in P_n$

if and only if L has the standard form

$$A \otimes B \mapsto U(L_1(A) \otimes L_2(B))U^*$$

for some unitary U , where $L_i(X) = X$ or $L_i(X) = X^t$.

There are other preservers if one does not impose the condition $\|L(I)\| \leq 1$.

(a) $X \mapsto (\text{tr } X)R$ for a fixed orthogonal projection.

(b) $A \otimes B \mapsto U((\text{tr } A)R \otimes L(B))U^*$, etc.

Some recent progress

By Kuo, Li, Sze, Tsai, Wong, etc.

Some recent progress

By Kuo, Li, Sze, Tsai, Wong, etc.

- For each $A \in P_m$, we consider induced map $L_A : H_n \rightarrow H_{mn}$ defined by

$$L_A(B) = L(A \otimes B)$$

sending rank 1 orthogonal projections to (rank k) orthogonal projections.

Some recent progress

By Kuo, Li, Sze, Tsai, Wong, etc.

- For each $A \in P_m$, we consider induced map $L_A : H_n \rightarrow H_{mn}$ defined by

$$L_A(B) = L(A \otimes B)$$

sending rank 1 orthogonal projections to (rank k) orthogonal projections.

- Then $L_A(B)$ is unitarily similar to $U_A(\tau(B))U_A^*$ such that $\tau(B)$ is a direct sum of

$$I_r \otimes B, \quad I_s \otimes B^t, \quad (\text{tr } B)P,$$

where P is a fixed orthogonal projection of rank p ,

Some recent progress

By Kuo, Li, Sze, Tsai, Wong, etc.

- For each $A \in P_m$, we consider induced map $L_A : H_n \rightarrow H_{mn}$ defined by

$$L_A(B) = L(A \otimes B)$$

sending rank 1 orthogonal projections to (rank k) orthogonal projections.

- Then $L_A(B)$ is unitarily similar to $U_A(\tau(B))U_A^*$ such that $\tau(B)$ is a direct sum of

$$I_r \otimes B, \quad I_s \otimes B^t, \quad (\text{tr } B)P,$$

where P is a fixed orthogonal projection of rank p , and q matrices of the form

$$S(B \oplus B^t)S^* \quad \text{with } SS^* = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & C \\ C & I_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{such that } C = C^* = -C^t$$

such that $k = r + s + p + q$.

Some recent progress

By Kuo, Li, Sze, Tsai, Wong, etc.

- For each $A \in P_m$, we consider induced map $L_A : H_n \rightarrow H_{mn}$ defined by

$$L_A(B) = L(A \otimes B)$$

sending rank 1 orthogonal projections to (rank k) orthogonal projections.

- Then $L_A(B)$ is unitarily similar to $U_A(\tau(B))U_A^*$ such that $\tau(B)$ is a direct sum of

$$I_r \otimes B, \quad I_s \otimes B^t, \quad (\text{tr } B)P,$$

where P is a fixed orthogonal projection of rank p , and q matrices of the form

$$S(B \oplus B^t)S^* \quad \text{with } SS^* = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & C \\ C & I_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{such that } C = C^* = -C^t$$

such that $k = r + s + p + q$.

- Then we will use these local maps to determine the structure of L such that $L(A \otimes B)$ for all $(A, B) \in P_m \otimes P_n$.

Theorem [Marcus and Moyls, 1959]

A linear map $L : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ preserves the spectrum $\sigma(A)$, i.e.,

$$\sigma(L(A)) = \sigma(A) \quad \text{for all Hermitian } A \in M_n$$

Theorem [Marcus and Moyls, 1959]

A linear map $L : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ preserves the spectrum $\sigma(A)$, i.e.,

$$\sigma(L(A)) = \sigma(A) \quad \text{for all Hermitian } A \in M_n$$

if and only if there is an **invertible** $S \in M_n$ such that L has the form

$$A \mapsto SAS^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto SA^tS^{-1}.$$

Theorem [Marcus and Moyls, 1959]

A linear map $L : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ preserves the spectrum $\sigma(A)$, i.e.,

$$\sigma(L(A)) = \sigma(A) \quad \text{for all Hermitian } A \in M_n$$

if and only if there is an **invertible** $S \in M_n$ such that L has the form

$$A \mapsto SAS^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto SA^tS^{-1}.$$

If L **maps Hermitian matrices to Hermitian matrices**, then S is **unitary**.

Theorem [Fosner, Huang, Li, Sze, 2012]

A linear map $L : M_{mn} \rightarrow M_{mn}$ sending the set of Hermitian matrices to itself and satisfies

$$\sigma(L(A \otimes B)) = \sigma(A \otimes B) \quad \text{for all Hermitian } A \in M_m, B \in M_n$$

Theorem [Fosner, Huang, Li, Sze, 2012]

A linear map $L : M_{mn} \rightarrow M_{mn}$ sending the set of Hermitian matrices to itself and satisfies

$$\sigma(L(A \otimes B)) = \sigma(A \otimes B) \quad \text{for all Hermitian } A \in M_m, B \in M_n$$

if and only if there is a unitary $U \in M_n$ such that L has the form

$$L(A \otimes B) = U(\tau_1(A) \otimes \tau_2(B))U^* \quad \text{for all Hermitian } A \in M_m, B \in M_n,$$

Theorem [Fosner, Huang, Li, Sze, 2012]

A linear map $L : M_{mn} \rightarrow M_{mn}$ sending the set of Hermitian matrices to itself and satisfies

$$\sigma(L(A \otimes B)) = \sigma(A \otimes B) \quad \text{for all Hermitian } A \in M_m, B \in M_n$$

if and only if there is a unitary $U \in M_n$ such that L has the form

$$L(A \otimes B) = U(\tau_1(A) \otimes \tau_2(B))U^* \quad \text{for all Hermitian } A \in M_m, B \in M_n,$$

where τ_i is the identity map $X \mapsto X$ or the transposition map $X \mapsto X^t$.

Spectrum preservers

Theorem [Fosner, Huang, Li, Sze, 2012]

A linear map $L : M_{mn} \rightarrow M_{mn}$ sending the set of Hermitian matrices to itself and satisfies

$$\sigma(L(A \otimes B)) = \sigma(A \otimes B) \quad \text{for all Hermitian } A \in M_m, B \in M_n$$

if and only if there is a unitary $U \in M_n$ such that L has the form

$$L(A \otimes B) = U(\tau_1(A) \otimes \tau_2(B))U^* \quad \text{for all Hermitian } A \in M_m, B \in M_n,$$

where τ_i is the identity map $X \mapsto X$ or the transposition map $X \mapsto X^t$.

We get essentially the same conclusion if we replace $\sigma(X)$ by the spectral radius $r(X)$; the preservers are \pm of spectrum preservers.

Spectrum preservers

Theorem [Fosner, Huang, Li, Sze, 2012]

A linear map $L : M_{mn} \rightarrow M_{mn}$ sending the set of Hermitian matrices to itself and satisfies

$$\sigma(L(A \otimes B)) = \sigma(A \otimes B) \quad \text{for all Hermitian } A \in M_m, B \in M_n$$

if and only if there is a unitary $U \in M_n$ such that L has the form

$$L(A \otimes B) = U(\tau_1(A) \otimes \tau_2(B))U^* \quad \text{for all Hermitian } A \in M_m, B \in M_n,$$

where τ_i is the identity map $X \mapsto X$ or the transposition map $X \mapsto X^t$.

We get essentially the same conclusion if we replace $\sigma(X)$ by the spectral radius $r(X)$; the preservers are \pm of spectrum preservers.

Open problem

What if we do not assume that $L(H_{mn}) \subseteq H_{mn}$?

Spectrum preservers

Theorem [Fosner, Huang, Li, Sze, 2012]

A linear map $L : M_{mn} \rightarrow M_{mn}$ sending the set of Hermitian matrices to itself and satisfies

$$\sigma(L(A \otimes B)) = \sigma(A \otimes B) \quad \text{for all Hermitian } A \in M_m, B \in M_n$$

if and only if there is a unitary $U \in M_n$ such that L has the form

$$L(A \otimes B) = U(\tau_1(A) \otimes \tau_2(B))U^* \quad \text{for all Hermitian } A \in M_m, B \in M_n,$$

where τ_i is the identity map $X \mapsto X$ or the transposition map $X \mapsto X^t$.

We get essentially the same conclusion if we replace $\sigma(X)$ by the spectral radius $r(X)$; the preservers are \pm of spectrum preservers.

Open problem

What if we do not assume that $L(H_{mn}) \subseteq H_{mn}$?

Conjecture

$$A \otimes B \mapsto S(\tau_1(A) \otimes \tau_2(B))S^{-1}.$$

Determinant preservers

Theorem [Frobenius, 1897], [Marcus and Moyls, 1959]

A linear map $L : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ satisfies

$$\det(L(A)) = \det(A) \quad \text{for all (Hermitian) } A \in M_n$$

if and only if there are $M, N \in M_n$ with $\det(MN) = 1$ such that L has the form

$$A \mapsto MAN \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto MA^tN.$$

Determinant preservers

Theorem [Frobenius, 1897], [Marcus and Moyls, 1959]

A linear map $L : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ satisfies

$$\det(L(A)) = \det(A) \quad \text{for all (Hermitian) } A \in M_n$$

if and only if there are $M, N \in M_n$ with $\det(MN) = 1$ such that L has the form

$$A \mapsto MAN \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto MA^t N.$$

It follows that a linear determinant preserver on $L : H_n \rightarrow H_n$ has the form

$$A \mapsto \mu SAS^* \quad \text{or} \quad A \mapsto \mu SAS^*$$

with $\mu \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $S \in M_n$ such that $\det(\mu SS^*) = 1$.

Result on tensor product

Theorem [Xu and Fosner, 2013]

Suppose $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ is linear and **unital**. Then

$$\det(L(A \otimes B)) = \det(A \otimes B) \quad \text{for all } (A, B) \in H_m \times H_n$$

if and only if L has the form

Result on tensor product

Theorem [Xu and Fosner, 2013]

Suppose $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ is linear and **unital**. Then

$$\det(L(A \otimes B)) = \det(A \otimes B) \quad \text{for all } (A, B) \in H_m \times H_n$$

if and only if L has the form

$$A \otimes B \mapsto U(\tau(A \otimes B))U^*$$

for some unitary $U \in M_{mn}$ and τ is one of the maps:

Result on tensor product

Theorem [Xu and Fosner, 2013]

Suppose $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ is linear and **unital**. Then

$$\det(L(A \otimes B)) = \det(A \otimes B) \quad \text{for all } (A, B) \in H_m \times H_n$$

if and only if L has the form

$$A \otimes B \mapsto U(\tau(A \otimes B))U^*$$

for some unitary $U \in M_{mn}$ and τ is one of the maps:

$$A \otimes B \mapsto A \otimes B, \quad A^t \otimes B, \quad A \otimes B^t, \quad \text{or} \quad A^t \otimes B^t.$$

Result on tensor product

Theorem [Xu and Fosner, 2013]

Suppose $L : H_{mn} \rightarrow H_{mn}$ is linear and **unital**. Then

$$\det(L(A \otimes B)) = \det(A \otimes B) \quad \text{for all } (A, B) \in H_m \times H_n$$

if and only if L has the form

$$A \otimes B \mapsto U(\tau(A \otimes B))U^*$$

for some unitary $U \in M_{mn}$ and τ is one of the maps:

$$A \otimes B \mapsto A \otimes B, \quad A^t \otimes B, \quad A \otimes B^t, \quad \text{or} \quad A^t \otimes B^t.$$

Example [Sze et al.] The **unital assumption is necessary**. Otherwise, there are some other maps, say, when $m = n$ is even,

$$A \otimes B \mapsto I_{n/2} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & AB \\ BA & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Questions

Questions

- Can we characterize the preservers without the unital assumption anyway?

Questions

- Can we characterize the preservers without the unital assumption anyway?
- Can we obtain results for $M_m \otimes M_n$?

Questions

- Can we characterize the preservers without the unital assumption anyway?
- Can we obtain results for $M_m \otimes M_n$?

With unital assumption, can we prove that a linear map

$L : M_{m \cdot n} \rightarrow M_{m \cdot n}$ such that

$$\det(L(A \otimes B)) = \det(A \otimes B) \quad \text{for all } (A, B) \in M_m \otimes M_n$$

have the form

$$A \otimes B \mapsto S(\tau(A \otimes B))S^{-1}.$$

Questions

- Can we characterize the preservers without the unital assumption anyway?
- Can we obtain results for $M_m \otimes M_n$?

With unital assumption, can we prove that a linear map

$L : M_{m \cdot n} \rightarrow M_{m \cdot n}$ such that

$$\det(L(A \otimes B)) = \det(A \otimes B) \quad \text{for all } (A, B) \in M_m \otimes M_n$$

have the form

$$A \otimes B \mapsto S(\tau(A \otimes B))S^{-1}.$$

How about $m = n = 2$? How about removing the unital assumption?

Questions

- Can we characterize the preservers without the unital assumption anyway?
- Can we obtain results for $M_m \otimes M_n$?

With unital assumption, can we prove that a linear map

$L : M_{m \cdot n} \rightarrow M_{m \cdot n}$ such that

$$\det(L(A \otimes B)) = \det(A \otimes B) \quad \text{for all } (A, B) \in M_m \otimes M_n$$

have the form

$$A \otimes B \mapsto S(\tau(A \otimes B))S^{-1}.$$

How about $m = n = 2$? How about removing the unital assumption?

- There are other questions related to numerical ranges, norms, etc. (on matrices or vectors).

Questions

- Can we characterize the preservers without the unital assumption anyway?
- Can we obtain results for $M_m \otimes M_n$?

With unital assumption, can we prove that a linear map

$L : M_{m \cdot n} \rightarrow M_{m \cdot n}$ such that

$$\det(L(A \otimes B)) = \det(A \otimes B) \quad \text{for all } (A, B) \in M_m \otimes M_n$$

have the form

$$A \otimes B \mapsto S(\tau(A \otimes B))S^{-1}.$$

How about $m = n = 2$? How about removing the unital assumption?

- There are other questions related to numerical ranges, norms, etc. (on matrices or vectors).
- Hope to get your help, and I can tell you more next time.

Thank you for your attention!