

Value

1. a) Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be an injection and let E and F be subsets of A . Give the definition of "f is an injection", and show that then $f(E) \cap f(F) \subseteq f(E \cap F)$.

Show that this might not be true if f is not an injection by providing an example of

[5] f, A, B, E and F with $f(E) \cap f(F) \not\subseteq f(E \cap F)$.

Let $x \in f(E) \cap f(F)$. Then $x \in f(E)$ and $x \in f(F)$, i.e. $\exists a \in E, \exists b \in F$ such that $x = f(a) = f(b)$. Since f is an injection, it must be that $a = b$, and so $a \in E \cap F$. But then $x = f(a) \in f(E \cap F)$ and so $f(E) \cap f(F) \subseteq f(E \cap F)$.

EXAMPLE: $f(x) = x^2$, $A = B = \mathbb{R}$, $E = [-2, -1]$, $F = [1, 2]$.
Then $E \cap F = \emptyset$, $f(E \cap F) = \emptyset$, $f(E) = [1, 4]$, $f(F) = [1, 4]$.
And so $f(E) \cap f(F) = [1, 4] \not\subseteq f(E \cap F) = \emptyset$.

b) Using only the field axioms of $\mathbb{R}$, show that for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, if $a + b = 0$, then $b = -a$, and that furthermore this implies $(-1)a = -a$. (You can also use the Thm: $0a = 0$)

[5]

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a + b = 0$. $\xrightarrow{+(-a)} -a + (a + b) = -a + 0$,

(using A2, and A3) $\Leftrightarrow (-a + a) + b = -a$ (using A4)

$\Leftrightarrow 0 + b = -a$ (using A3) $\Leftrightarrow b = -a$.

Now $a + (-1)a \stackrel{M3}{=} 1 \cdot a + (-1)a \stackrel{D}{=} (1 + (-1))a = 0 \cdot a \stackrel{Thm}{=} 0$.

From what we have proven above; for $b = (-1)a$, we get that $(-1)a = -a$.

2. a) State the Archimedean property and give the definition of $\inf A$ for a non-empty, bounded below subset A of $\mathbb{R}$.

- b) Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 2\}$. Show that A is non-empty, bounded below and not bounded above subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Show that $\inf A = 2$ by using the definition of infimum and a corollary to the Archimedean property, which you should state explicitly.

SINCE $2 < 3$ (FOLLOWS FROM $0 < 1$ AND THM'S ON ORDER), $3 \in A$
AND SO $A \neq \emptyset$; $2 < x$, $\forall x \in A$, AND SO 2 IS A LOWER BOUND OF A ;
 A IS NOT BOUNDED ABOVE SINCE FOR ANY $M \in \mathbb{R}$, BY THE ARCH. PROPERTY,
 $\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ SUCH THAT $N_1 > 2$ AND $\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ S.T. $N_2 > M$. THEN, IF
 $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, WE HAVE THAT $N > 2$, AND SO $N \in A$, AND ALSO $N > M$.
THUS, NO $M \in \mathbb{R}$ CAN BE AN UPPER BOUND OF A .

PROOF OF $\inf A = 2$: (i) $2 < x$, $\forall x \in A$ AND SO 2 IS A LOWER BOUND.
(ii) IF $2 < u$, THEN $u - 2 > 0$, AND BY A COROLL. TO THE ARCH.
PROPERTY, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ SUCH THAT $0 < \frac{1}{n} < u - 2$. BUT THEN:
 $2 < \frac{1}{n} + 2 < u$, $\frac{1}{n} + 2 \in A$, AND SO u IS NOT A LOWER
BOUND OF A .

THUS 2 IS THE SMALLEST UPPER BOUND OF A , I.E. $2 = \inf A$.

- c) Find a bijection between A and $\mathbb{R}$ to support the claim that A is uncountable.
[2] (You can use functions that you have seen in calculus. No proof is required here.)

A IS UNCOUNTABLE, SINCE $f(x) = e^x + 2$ IS A BIJECTION
FROM $\mathbb{R}$ ONTO A . THUS: $|A| = |\mathbb{R}|$.

3. a) For a sequence of real numbers (x_n) , give the definition of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.
[2]

- [6] b) Show that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\exists (r_n^x)$ with $r_n^x \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n^x = x$.

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x < x + \frac{1}{n}$. (since $n > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} > 0$)

By the Density Theorem, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists r_n^x \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$x < r_n^x < x + \frac{1}{n}. \quad \text{CLAIM: } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n^x = x.$$

PROOF: Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Coroll. to the Arch. Property, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon$. Then $\forall n \geq N$, we have that $\frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{N}$

and so $x - \varepsilon < x < r_n^x < x + \frac{1}{n} < x + \varepsilon$, i.e. $|r_n^x - x| < \varepsilon$.

Thus, this $N = N(\varepsilon)$ would do.

4. Let $x_n = \frac{n-1}{2n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- [5] a) Show that (x_n) converges by using the definition of limit of a sequence.

We have to show that $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\forall n \geq N$ we have that $|x_n - \frac{1}{2}| < \varepsilon$. (i.e. that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n-1}{2n} = \frac{1}{2}$.)

NOTE: $|x_n - \frac{1}{2}| = \left| \frac{n-1}{2n} - \frac{1}{2} \right| = \left| \frac{n-1-n}{2n} \right| = \frac{1}{2n} < \varepsilon$, whenever $n > \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}$. Thus, taking $N = \left\lceil \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \right\rceil + 1$, we have that $\forall n \geq N$,

$|x_n - \frac{1}{2}| = \frac{1}{2n} \leq \frac{1}{2N} < \varepsilon$, and so this $N = N(\varepsilon)$ would do.

- [5] b) Show that (x_n) is Cauchy by stating and using the definition of a Cauchy sequence.

We have to show that $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n, m \geq N$

we have that $|x_n - x_m| < \varepsilon$.

Assume WLOG: $m > n$

NOTE: $|x_n - x_m| = \left| \frac{n-1}{2n} - \frac{m-1}{2m} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{2m} \right| = \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{2m} < \frac{1}{2n} < \varepsilon$, whenever $n > \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}$. Thus, taking $N = \left\lceil \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \right\rceil + 1$,

we have that whenever $m > n \geq N$, $|x_n - x_m| < \frac{1}{2n} < \varepsilon$, and

so, this $N = N(\varepsilon)$ would do. (If $n > m$: $|x_n - x_m| = \frac{1}{2m} - \frac{1}{2n} < \frac{1}{2m} \leq \frac{1}{2N} < \varepsilon$

whenever $n > m \geq N$, i.e. the same N would do.)

5. a) State the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem.

[2]

b) Use a) and the definition of a limit to show that if a bounded sequence of real numbers (x_n) diverges, and if (x_{n_k}) is a subsequence of (x_n) such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = a$, then there must exist another subsequence (x_{n_s}) of (x_n) , and a real number $b \neq a$ such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_s} = b$.

[8] LET (x_n) DIVERGE, AND LET (x_{n_k}) BE A SUBSEQUENCE OF (x_n) SUCH THAT $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = a$.

SINCE (x_n) DIVERGES, $\exists \epsilon_0 > 0$, SUCH THAT $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \geq N$ WITH $|x_n - a| \geq \epsilon_0$. WE WILL CREATE A SUBSEQUENCE OF (x_n) WHICH IS OUTSIDE OF $V_{\epsilon_0}(a)$. FOR $N=1$, LET $n_1 \geq 1$, SUCH THAT $|x_{n_1} - a| \geq \epsilon_0$. FOR $N=n_1+1$, LET $n_2 \geq n_1+1 > n_1$, SUCH THAT $|x_{n_2} - a| \geq \epsilon_0$ FOR $N=n_s+1$, LET $n_{s+1} \geq n_s+1 > n_s$ BE SUCH THAT $|x_{n_{s+1}} - a| \geq \epsilon_0$

THUS (x_{n_s}) IS A SUBSEQUENCE OF (x_n) , AND $x_{n_s} \notin V_{\epsilon_0}(a), \forall s \in \mathbb{N}$.

NOW (x_{n_s}) IS A BOUNDED SEQUENCE, SINCE (x_n) IS BOUNDED, AND BY BOLZ.-WEIERSTR., $\exists (x_{n_{s_l}})$, SUBSEQUENCE OF (x_{n_s}) (AND SO ALSO A SUBSEQ. OF (x_n)), WHICH CONVERGES TO SOME $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

NOTE THAT THEN $b \neq a$, SINCE FOR $\epsilon = \epsilon_0$, $\exists l_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ S.T. $\forall l \geq l_0$ WE HAVE THAT $x_{n_{s_l}} \in V_{\epsilon_0}(b)$ (AND $x_{n_{s_l}} \notin V_{\epsilon_0}(a), \forall l \in \mathbb{N}$).